

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/591,117	08/30/2006	Jacques Thomasset	2590-164	7736	
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 05/06/200 NDERHYE, PC	9	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH C	ELEBE ROAD, 11TH F	LOOR	KASHNIK	KASHNIKOW, ERIK	
ARLINGTON	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/06/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/591,117
 THOMASSET ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 ERIK KASHNIKOW
 1794

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) ERIK KASHNIKOW/ELLEN WOOD. (3)Duane Byers. (4)Andre Roland. (2) Rena Dye. Date of Interview: 04 May 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: All. Identification of prior art discussed: Kudert (US 6.332,767 and Kawaguchi et al. (JP 02098415). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives discussed how it is believed that the disclosed invention distinguishes over the applied prior art of record, specifically with respect to Kudert and Kawaguchi. The Examiner has agreed to carefully consider Applicant's arguments and proposed amendments in the next official response... (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794	
Patent and Trademark Office		